# BTH Project Paper Marking Sheet

Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Marker: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Topic: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**1. Research question is clear and the project provides a well-developed answer to this question (20%)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Research question is not presented. | Research question is vague and the project lacks focus and development. | The project often strays from the research question and lacks clear focus. | Clear research question but some of the project is off target and answer is incomplete. | Clear research question and generally well organised to reach a conclusion. | Clear research question and project keeps focus and provides a compelling answer. |
| 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |

Marker comments:

**2. Thorough literature review and good interaction with these sources related to the research aim (20%)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Literature review is not demonstrated in the project. | Poor quality of sources and inadequate understanding of use of these. | Some sources but does not demonstrate good understanding of these sources. | Adequate number of sources. Refers to ideas and quotes from sources. | Good number of sources. Shows good under­standing of these sources. | Good number of quality sources. Good discussion, critique and use of these sources. |
| 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |

Marker comments:

**3. Biblical reflection on the topic shows good biblical and theological analysis (15%)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No Biblical reflection on the topic. | Inadequate Biblical reflection or lack of skill to interpret the Biblical material that is presented. | Some Biblical reflection but gaps in the texts and themes that are presented and discussed. | A good amount of Biblical reflection and reasonable application of the Bible to the research topic. | Relevant Biblical material is identified and discussed in a way that contributes well to the topic. | All key Biblical texts and themes are identified and they are analysed accurately and relevantly. |
| 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |

Marker comments:

**4. Well-reasoned arguments with sufficient evidence, analysis and original thinking (20%)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Lacks logical arguments and evidence for claims. | Arguments are not well-reasoned, and mostly just anecdotal evidence. | Many points are not well argued and have inadequate evidence. Conclusions are okay. | Adequate arguments and evidence but not convincing on some points. Conclusions make sense. | Most points are well argued and evidenced. Conclusions are convincing with some original ideas. | All points are well argued and evidenced. Conclusions synthesis the material in a sound and creative way. |
| 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |

Marker comments:

**5. Clear and understandable English, with few mistakes, following Academic Writing Guide (20%)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Almost impossible to understand. | Much of the project is difficult to understand with grammatical mistakes almost every sentence. | Many sentences and paragraphs are poorly constructed with regular grammatical mistakes. | Fairly easy to understand but more than five mistakes every page and/or major formatting errors. | Generally easy to read. Some mistakes every page and one or two formatting errors. | Project is easy to read with only a few mistakes and follows Academic Writing Guide accurately. |
| 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |

Marker comments:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Percentage Mark (Total of above) |  |  | Grade: |  |

Additional comments:

Signed by marker: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_